THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.19905 of 2007

Between:

A.Mohan Reddy and another.

.. PETITIONERS

and

The Tahsildar and another.

.. RESPONDENTS

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.19905 of 2007

ORDER:

It is the case of the petitioners that the land admeasuring Ac.7-14 gts was owned by one Sri Avuram Papi Reddy and one Sri Avuram Yadi Reddy, who is the son of the said Avuram Papi Reddy, submitted a claim in Form VI A for grant of Succession Certificate. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Moinabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, vide Letter No.RS/914/2004, dated 02-09-2004, granted Succession Certificate in favour of Sri Avuram Yadi Reddy for an extent of Ac.2-19 gts., in favour of the 2nd petitioner for an extent of Ac.2-19 gts. and in favour of the 1st petitioner for an extent of Ac.2-18 gts. But, however, the pattadar pass book, dated 02-09-2004, was issued by the 1st respondent in favour of the 1st petitioner for an extent of Ac.0-10 gts. only instead of Ac.2-18 gts. and no pattadar pass book was issued in favour of the 2nd petitioner. It is stated that in similar facts and circumstances of the case, this Court disposed of W.P.No.10509 of 2007 on 06-07-2007, giving liberty to the petitioner therein to file appropriate application under Form VI A and as and when such application is made, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

A perusal of the material available on record, there is no proof of filing any application under Form VI-A. Even, it is assumed that the 1st petitioner did not file application under Form VI A and if there are incorrect entries in the pattadar pass book, it is open for the 1st petitioner to file representation before the Authorities concerned for rectification of the entries. Insofar as the 2nd petitioner is concerned, I do not see any application filed under Form VI-A for grant of pattadar pass book. Therefore, I am of the opinion that without approaching the Authorities concerned, the petitioners are not entitled for any

Writ of Mandamus as claimed by them.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed with liberty to approach the Authorities concerned. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

20-09-2007

Prv